United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,263 08/20/2003		Larry Smith	1202L-003002	7481
27572 7	7590 10/27/2005		EXAMINER	
•	DICKEY & PIERCE	, P.L.C.	NELSON JR, MILTON	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	• ,		3636	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Nation of Abandanasa	10/644,263	SMITH ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Milton Nelson, Jr.	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does to the other period of the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) No reply has been received.	•				
. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	gnee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for seeking court review			
The reason(s) below:					
		What.			
		Milton Nelson, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3636			

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 101705